NANCY A GRAHAM
STEPHENE HALE
MELVIN C HALL
SHARONE HAMM
ZACHERY R HARGIS
CHRISTOPHENE S HEROUX
JERRIL HILL
OCHEN R HOWARD
WIN CRECORY JAMES
STEVEN JAMSZEWSKN
KIEMONNIL JONES
SARAH G KIENY
SCOTI P KIRTLEY
KRISTOPHENE KOEPSEL
TERRY D KORDELISKI, II
G DIAMELE
JOSEPH P LEMART
TYLER D LEOMARD
C S LEWIS II
MART JEAN LITTLE
LORIT LOYOLNIEVES
JONEY LUTON
BRENDAN J MAGGE
JANET G MALLOW
JONEN ROSS MALOY
MATT D MATHESON EEGORY W ALBERTY
EBECCA V ALIENT
CK R ANDERSON
IDMAS M ASKEW
'ANJ ASSIRK
IA K BICKLE
XIALD M BINGHAIA
RLIAM A BOWLES
CHARD B BOYLE. IV
IV J BRATCHER TER W BROLICK
ACIE BRYZA
COTT W DYRD
CHELLE M CARTER
LL CHASE L CHASE

BRICK D CORNEJO

EPHENL CORTES

ATTHEW P CROUCH

BERT P DEAN

ENNA S DORRIS

NET S DUMONT

AL EDWARDS, JR

FORGE M EMERSON

EPHANIE A FUNG

CHADD A GAIN

DAT L CABUITT RT T GARBUTT CHARD T GARREN SHARON GENTRY

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW THE PARAGON BUILDING SUITE 101 5801 BROADWAY EXTENSION OKLAHOMA CITY, OKLAHOMA 73118-7489 (405) 843-9909 Fax (405) 842-2913

August 24, 2007

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

GLOYD L MICCOY
HAYMOND A MELTON
RICHARD A MILDREN
OUSAIR MOHAMEDBHM
J LYOM MORBIERA
JANKE LOGAN MORROW
ROBERTA A NANCE
GANY L. NEAL
MARK L. NELMS
MARGARET A MURNIERY
SIMWHA C'DUCKLEY
JAMES C ORBISON
JAMES R POLAN
RICHARD P POORMON
DAVID L PRICE RICHARD P POORNON DAVID L PROCES VICTORIAL RACKLEY FRED RAHAL, JR LISA R RIGGS AN DAVID RIGGS STEPHEN B RILLEY RAMDALL A RINDUEST MARY J ROUNDS GARRIELA N SANDOVAL WILLIAM C SEARCY KRISTEN E SHILLINGTON DAVID A SUAMENTAL RODERT P SKETTH KENNETH M SMITH

SCOTT D SMITH
BETTY J SOMMARG
KIMBERLY V SPARKS
BEVERLY A STEWARD
STEPDAME I HEBAN
DAVID H THOMAS
REX W THOMAS
REX W THOMAS
REX W THOMAS
CHERYLA TOMAN
SOULAM TREI
URDA WAIN ARREL-GREWEI
RADIC AWAIN ARREL-GREWEI
SHARON K WEAVER
JOSEPH R WELLS SCOTT D SMITH JOSEPHA WELLS
BRIAN S WILKERSON
DOUGLAS A WILSON
JERRY L WITT
COURTINEY M WOUNT
MICHAEL P WOMACK
GARY W WOOD
TRACY & ZAM

Of Counsel Bonjamin P Abney E Bryan Henson Peler J Regan

Theresa Noble Hill, Esq. Rhodes Hieronymus 100 W 5th Street Suite 400 Tulsa, OK 74103

Rule 30(b)(6) deposition notices directed to State of Oklahoma Re:

Dear Theresa:

The State has received your August 17 Rule 30(b)(6) deposition notices and your letter of August 22 proposing a different schedule in light of Labor Day.

We want to inform you that, given the breadth and depth of these notices, and other depositions already noticed, the State will be unable to identify and prepare appropriate witnesses even by the schedule proposed in your letter of August 22 In addition, we are concerned that we will face a series of notices on similar topics by other defendants, and want to explore providing these depositions in a consolidated fashion to minimize the burden and expense to the State. We make this suggestion without waiver of any objection the State may have to your notices, their definitions, or the proposed topics to be addressed.

What are your thoughts about the means whereby we can cover these topics in a consolidated set of depositions, rather than by a series depositions conducted by different defendants? We need to broach this subject with all of the defendants. Are you willing to present it to the other defense counsel, or should we do so? Please let us know.

Thank you for your assistance in this regard.

Sincerely yours,

Robert A. Nance For the Firm

Kelly Hunter Burch, Esq.

Cc:

Trevor Hammons, Esq.

STEPHEN E HALE
MELVINC HALL
SHARON E HALM
SACHERY R HARGIS
CHRISTOPHEN S HERBOX
JERRIL HALL
HOLLY M HALLERMAN
ERIK S HOUGHTON
ROBERT E HOWARD
WAN GREGORY JAMES
STEVEN JANUSZEWSKI
MICHONIA JOHES
SARAM G KIERY
KRISTOPHEN E KOPSEL
TERRY D KORDEUSKI IN
G DIANE LEE
MICHELE D LEFLORE
JOSEPH P LEMIART
TYLER D LEGOMAD
C S LEWIS, III LEONARD
TYLER D LEGOMAD
C S LEWIS, III LOYOL-REVES
JOIN D LUTON
BERHOM J JAMESE
JAMET G WALLEY
JOHN FOR SEMICHY
JOHN ROSS JAMEO
MART D MALTHEON
MART D MARTHEON
MARTHEON REGORY W ALBERTY IBECCA V AMENT ICK R ANDERSON HOMAS M ASKEW YAN J ASSEM SAK BICKLE SAK BICKLE
DNALD M BINGHAM
TILIANA BOWLES
CHARD B BOYLE, IV
ELLY L BRAYCHER
EYER W BROLICK
FACIE BRYZA FACIE BRYZA
COTT W BYRD
ICHELLE M CARTER
LL L CHASE
EHRICK D CORNEJO
FEPHEN L CORTES
ATTHEW P CROUCH
OBERT P DEAN
LENIAS DORRIS
WET S DUMONT VAL EDWARDS, JR
AL EDWARDS, JR
EORGE M EMERSON
IEPHANIE A FLINS
KHARD A GANN
ART I GARBUIT
ICHARD I GARREN
SHARON GENTRY
ANCY A GRAHAM

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW THE PARAGON BUILDING SUITE 101 5801 BROADWAY EXTENSION OKLAHOMA CITY, OKLAHOMA 73118-7489 (405) 843-9909 Fax (405) 842-2913

September 7, 2007

GLOYD L MCCOY RAYMOND A MELTON RICHARD A MILDREN QUSAIR MOHAMEDBHAI J LYON MOREHEAD J LYON MOREHEAD
JANICE LOGAN MORROW
ROBERTA LANCE
GARY L NEAL
MARK L. NELMS
MARGARET A MUNNERY
SHAWING OBLICKLEY
JAMES C ORBISON
NICOLE J PETTY
WHITNEY D PETTY
JAMES R POLAN
RICHARD P. POORMON
DAVID L. PRICE
VICTORIA L. RACKLEY
FRED RANAL JR FRED ROMAL, IN
LISA R RIGGS
IM DAVID RIGGS
STEPHEN B RILEY
RAKALL A RINQUEST
LARRY J ROUNDS
GABRIELA N. SANDOVAL
WILLIAM C. SEARCY
VINILLAM C. SEARCY KRISTEN E SHLLINGTON

KENNETH M. SMITH
SCOTT O SMITH
DETTY J SOMMARS
DEVERLY A STEWART
CIMISTOPHER B. SWART
STEPHANIE L. THEBAN
DAVID H. THOMAS
HARLEY W. THOMAS
PEX W. THOMPSON
SONA M. TREI
MICHAEL C. TURPEN
MICHAEL C. TURPEN
LINDA VAN EREL-GREN MICHAEL C TURPEN
LINDA VAN ARKEL-GREU
KANEN CARDEN WALSH
SHARON K WEAVER
JOSEPH R WELLS
BRIAN S WILKERSON
DOLKELAS A WILSON DERRY I. WITT COURTNEY M WOLIN MICHAEL P WOMACK GARY W WOOD TRACY S ZAHL

Ol Counsel Benjamin P. Abney E. Bryan Henson Petel J. Regan

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

Ms. Theresa Noble Hill, Esq. Rhodes, Hieronymus, Jones, Tucker & Gable, PLLC 100 W. 5th St., Suite 400 Tulsa, OK 74103-4287

State of Oklahoma v. Tyson, et al. U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Oklahoma Case No. 05-CV-00329-GKF-SAJ

Dear Theresa:

We have received your letter of August 27, 2007 in which you express your reluctance to consolidate your proposed Rule 30(b)(6) depositions of the State with the other Defendants in order to save time and expense for the State, and, for that matter, the Defendants. Your principal reason is that you intend to ask questions specific to the Cargill Defendants, and that no economies could be achieved by consolidating the deposition with those of other Defendants who would presumably want to ask similarly defendant specific questions

We disagree with your reasoning. Many of the "Cargill specific" areas of inquiry you propose for the State will receive similar answers based upon the nature of the State's case. This process has been previewed in the extensive and overlapping written discovery to which the State has already responded. We urge you to reconsider your position and to join us in approaching the other Defendants in order to consolidate these depositions on reasonable terms which economically meet the needs of all of the parties.

We make this proposal without waiving any objections to or relief from the Rule 30(b)(6) notices which the Cargill Defendants have served upon the State.

Please advise if your clients are willing to reconsider your position and join us in formulating a reasonable and economical approach which will not result in serial deposition of the State's witnesses.

Sincerely.

Robert A. Nance FOR THE FIRM

Jones



RECORY W ALBERTY EBECCA Y ANERTY ANER AND RESON ANER AND RESON HOLLAND MANAGEM YAN JASSIM ISAN BICKLE ONALD MI DENGHAM RILLAND SOWLES ICHARD B GOYLE IV ELLY L BRATCHER ELER W BROUCK TACKE BRYZA COTT W BYAD ICHELLE M CARTER ILL CHASE STEPHEN E HALE
MELVIN C HALL
SHARON E HAMM
ZACHERY N HARGIS
CHIRITONIER S HEROUX
JERRIL HALL
HOLLY M HILLERMAN
ROBERT E HOWARD
WAN GREGORY JAMES
STEVEN JAMISZEVSKI
KIEMORNIL JONES STEVEN JAMISZEWSKI
KIEMORAL JONES
SARAH G KIERY
SCOTT P, KIRTLEY
KRISTOPHER E KOEPSEL
TERRY D KORDEUSKI II
G DIAME LEE
JOSEPH P LERKART
TYLER D LEDIARD
C S LEWIS III
MARY JEAN LITLE
ADRIMA LOPEZ KUPPER
LORIT LOVOLNIEVES
JONIN D LUTON
BRENDAN J MGEE IICHELLE IN CARTER ILL CIASE ERRICKO CORNER ELL CIASE ERRICKO CORNES ENTHEW P CROUCH DEBRY P. CROUCH EL CIASE EL CARTES EL CARTES EL CARTES EL CARTES EL CARTES EL CHARDA EL CHARDA EL CHARDA EL CHARDA EL CARTES EL CAR BRENDAN J MAGEE JANET G MALLOW JOHN ROSS MALOY MATT D MATHEBON

IANCY A GRAHAM

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW THE PARAGON BUILDING SUITE 101 5801 BROADWAY EXTENSION OKLAHOMA CITY, OKLAHOMA 73118-7489 (405) 843-9909 Fax (405) 842-2913

September 7, 2007

GLOYD L MCCOY
RAYMOND A MELTON
RICHARD A MILDREN
GUSAIR MOHAMEDBHAI
J LYON MOREHEAD
JANICE LOGAN MORROW
ROBERT A NANCE
GARY L NEAL
MARK L NELMS
MANGARET A NUNNERY
SHAWN C. O'BUCKLEY
JAMES C O'RBISON
NICOLE J PETTY
WITHEY D PETTY
JAMES R POLAN
RICHARD P. POORMON
DAVID L PRICE
VICTORIA L RACKLEY
FRED RAHAL, AR
LISA R. RIGGS
SIEPHEM B RILEY
STEPHEM B RILEY M. DAVID RIGGS
STEPHEN B RILEY
RANDALL A RINQUEST
HARY J ROUNDS
GABRIELAN SANDOVAL
WILLIAM C SEARCY
KRISTEN E SHILLINGTON KENNETH M SMITH
SCOTT D SMITH
BETTY J SOMMANS
BEVERLY A STEWART
CHRISTOPHER D SWAISON
STEPHANIE L THEBAR
ARILE THOMAS
HARLE THOMAS
HARL

Of Counsol Benjamin P. Abnoy E. Biyan Henson Peter J. Rogan

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

Robert W. George, Esq. Kutak Rock, LLP 214 W. Dickson Street Fayetteville, AR 72701-5221

State of Oklahoma v. Tyson, et al. U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Re:

Oklahoma Case No. 05-CV-00329-GKF-SAJ

Dear Mr. George:

Theresa Hill has informed us that she has shared with you our letter of August 24, 2007 in which we proposed consolidated depositions of the State's 30(b)(6) witnesses in response to the five notices which the Cargill Defendants have served Copies of these notices are attached hereto for your convenience.

Counsel for the Cargill Defendants have indicated their reluctance to consolidate their Rule 30(b)(6) depositions, based upon the assertion that they wish to ask questions specific to their clients. We have asked the Cargill Defendants to reconsider that position in light of the fact that, as evidenced by the responses to extensive and overlapping written discovery served upon the State, defense counsel, including counsel for the Cargill Defendants, understand full well that, for many of the areas of inquiry they propose, the answers will be the same or similar for all of the Defendants.

We are now asking you directly if, on behalf of your clients, you are willing to organize a consolidated set of depositions of the State's 30(b)(6) witnesses on the general topic areas proposed by the Cargill Defendants. We pose this question without waiving any objections to the Rule 30(b)(6) notices which the Cargill Defendants have served upon the State, but in an effort to streamline discovery and minimize expense for the State and the Defendants as well.

Please advise if you are willing to proceed with discussions toward such a consolidated set of 30(b)(6) depositions.

Sincerely,

Robert A. Nance FOR THE FIRM



RECORY W ALBERTY
EDECCA V AUENT
ACK R ANDERSON
HOMAS M ASKEW
YAN J ASSIM
HOMAS
H

STEPHENE HALE
MELVING HALL
STURNOR HALM
SACKERY R HARMS
ZACKERY R HARGS
CINISTOPHEN HENOUS
JERRIL HILL
GRIS HOUGHION
ROBERT E HOWARD
WAS GREGORY JAMES
STEVEN JAMISE STEVEN JAMISE
STEVEN JAMISE WISH
KIEMORNIL JONES
SARAH G KIEMY
SCOTT P KRITISE
SCOTT P KRITISE
KRISTOPHENE K OEPSEL
TERRY D KORDELISKI II
G DUMPLEE
MICHELLE D LEFLORE
JOSEPH P LEBIART
TYLER D LEONARD
C. S LEWIS
UMARY JEAN LITTLE
LORI T LOVO-NEVES
LORI T LOVO-NEVES
LORI T LOVO-NEVES
JOHK D LUTOME
BREKDAN J MAGGE
JAMET O MALLOW
JOHN ROSS MALOY
JOHN ROSS MALOY
JOHN ROSS MALOY
JOHN ROSS MALOY
MATT D MATHESON

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW THE PARAGON BUILDING SUITE 101 5801 BROADWAY EXTENSION OKLAHOMA CITY, OKLAHOMA 73118-7489 (405) 843-9909 Fax (405) 842-2913

September 7, 2007

GLOYD L MCCOY
RAYMOND A MELTON
RICHARD A MILDREN
RICHARD A MILDREN
RUSAR MCHAMEDIBHA
J.YON MCREHEAD
JANICE LOGAN MCRROW
ROBERT A NAICE
GARY L NEAL
LARK L HELMS
MARCARET A NUNNERY
SHAWIN C ORUCKLEY
JAMES C. ORBISON
NICOLE J PETTY
WHITHEY D PETTY
JAMES R. POLAN
RICHARD P. POORMON
DAVID L PRICE
VICTORIA L. RACKLEY
FRED RAHAL, JR
LISA R. RIGGS
M. DAVID RIGGS
STEPHER B RICLEY
RANDALLA RINGUEST
MARY J. ROUNDS
GARRIELA N SANDOVAL
WILLIAM C. SEARCY
WILLIAM C. SEARCY
RISTER E SHILLINGTON
DAVID A SIMMERITAL
ROSERT P. SKETIM

KENNETH M SMITH
SCOTT O SMITH
BETTY J SOMMARS
BEVERLY A STEWART
CHRESTOWNE B EWARGON
THE SWARGON
THE SWARGON
THOMAS
REX W THOMAS
REX W THOMPSON
SOLIAM AT THE
MICHAEL C TURBEN
LINDA WAR ANNEL OF SWARGON
SHARGON
THOMPSON
SHARGON
SHARGON
SHARGON
COSEPH R WEAVER
SOSEPH R WEAVER
SOSEPH R WELLE
BRANTS WILKERSON
COUGLAS A WISON
JERRY L WITT
COUNTREY IN WOULD
MICHAEL P WOMACK
GARY W WOOD
TRACY S ZAHL

Of Coursel Benjama P Abney E Bryan Henson Polot J Regan

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

John Elrod, Esq. Conner & Winters, PLLC 211 E. Dickson Street Fayetteville, AR 72701

Re: State of Oklahoma v. Tyson, et al. U.S. District Court for the Northern District of

Oklahoma Case No. 05-CV-00329-GKF-SAJ

Dear Mr. Elrod:

Theresa Hill has informed us that she has shared with you our letter of August 24, 2007 in which we proposed consolidated depositions of the State's 30(b)(6) witnesses in response to the five notices which the Cargill Defendants have served. Copies of these notices are attached hereto for your convenience.

Counsel for the Cargill Defendants have indicated their reluctance to consolidate their Rule 30(b)(6) depositions, based upon the assertion that they wish to ask questions specific to their clients. We have asked the Cargill Defendants to reconsider that position in light of the fact that, as evidenced by the responses to extensive and overlapping written discovery served upon the State, defense counsel, including counsel for the Cargill Defendants, understand full well that, for many of the areas of inquiry they propose, the answers will be the same or similar for all of the Defendants.

We are now asking you directly if, on behalf of your clients, you are willing to organize a consolidated set of depositions of the State's 30(b)(6) witnesses on the general topic areas proposed by the Cargill Defendants. We pose this question without waiving any objections to the Rule 30(b)(6) notices which the Cargill Defendants have served upon the State, but in an effort to streamline discovery and minimize expense for the State and the Defendants as well.

Please advise if you are willing to proceed with discussions toward such a consolidated set of 30(b)(6) depositions.

Sincerely,

Robert A. Nance FOR THE FIRM

Joure



IC Document 1309-2 Filed in USDC ND/OK on 10/05/2007 RIGGS, ABNEY, NEAL, TURPEN, ORBISON & LEWIS

REGORY W ALBERTY
3BECCA V AMENT
12BECCA V AMENT
12K ANDERSON
12K ANDER

MELWIC HALL

SHARON E MAMM

ZACHERY R HARGIS

CHRISTOPHEN I BEROUX

JERRIL HILL

GRIK'S HOUGHTON

GRIK'S HOUGHTON

GRIK'S HOUGHTON

MI GRECORY JAMES

STEVEN JAMISZEWSKI

KENONN L JORES

SARAH G KRILLY

KRISTOPHEN E KOLESSEL

TO DAME OF COLUMN

GRECORY JAMES

STEVEN JAMISZEWSKI

KENONN L JORES

SARAH G KOLESSEL

TO DAME OF COLUMN

GRECORY JAMES

STEVEN JAMISZEWSKI

KENONN L JORES

SARAH G KOLESSEL

TO DAME OF COLUMN

GRECORY JAMES

JORN COLUMN

TYLER D LECHART

TYLER D LECHART

TYLER D LECHART

C S LEWS, III

HARY JEAN IIITLE

ADRINAN LOPEZ KUPPER

JOHN FOLST

JOHN FOLST

JOHN FOLST

JOHN FOLST

JOHN ROSS MALOY

MATT D MATHESON

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW THE PARAGON BUILDING SUITE 101 5801 BROADWAY EXTENSION OKLAHOMA CITY, OKLAHOMA 73118-7489 (405) 843-9909 Fax (405) 842-2913

September 7, 2007

GLOYD L. MCCOY
RAYMOND A. MELTCH
RICHARD A. MILDREN
GUSARI MONAMEDBHAI
J. LYON MORENEAD
J. LYON MORENEAD
J. LYON MORENEAD
AMILDREN
GARY L. NEAL
MARK I. NELUS
MARGARET A. NUNNERY
SHAWRIN C. OBLICKLEY
JAMES C. ORBISON
NCOLE J. PETTY
WHITHEY D. PETTY
WHITHEY D. PETTY
MALES R. POLAN
RICHARD P. POORMON
DAYD L. PRICE
VICTORIAL RACKLEY
FRED RAYML, JR.
LISA R. RIGGS
IN DAYO RIGGS
STEPHEN B RILEY
RADDALL A. RINGUEST
MARY J. ROUNDS
GABRIELAN SANDOVAL
WILLIAM C. SEARCY
KRISTEN E. SHALLINGTON
DAVID A. SEMBELTAN
ROBERT P. SKEITH
ROBERT P. SKEITH

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

KEINETH IN SMITH
SCOTT D SMITH
SCOTT D SMITH
SETTY J SCHMARS
BEVERLY A STEWART
CHRISTMERS BEVERLY
A STEWART
CHRISTMERS BEVERLY
A STEWART
CHRISTMERS BEVERLY
AND SMITH SMITH
MICHAEL
SMITH
MICHAEL
C TURPEN
URCHAEL
C TURPEN
URCHAEL
C TURPEN
URCHAEL
C TURPEN
WARBICARBURH
MARBICARBURH
MICHAEL
P WOMARK

GARY WOOD

MACHAEL
P WOMARK

GARY WOOD

MICHAEL
P WOMARK

GARY WOOD

MACHAEL
P WOMARK

MICHAEL

MICHAEL
P WOMARK

MICHAEL

MICH

Of Counsel Benjamin P Abney E Bryan Henson Petal J Regan

A. Scott McDaniel, Esq. McDaniel, Hixon, Longwell, Acord, P.L.L.C. 320 S. Boston Avenue, Suite 700 Tulsa, OK 74103

Re:

State of Oklahoma v. Tyson, et al. U.S. District Court for the Northern District of

Oklahoma Case No. 05-CV-00329-GKF-SAJ

Dear Mr. McDaniel.

Theresa Hill has informed us that she has shared with you our letter of August 24, 2007 in which we proposed consolidated depositions of the State's 30(b)(6) witnesses in response to the five notices which the Cargill Defendants have served. Copies of these notices are attached hereto for your convenience.

Counsel for the Cargill Defendants have indicated their reluctance to consolidate their Rule 30(b)(6) depositions, based upon the assertion that they wish to ask questions specific to their clients. We have asked the Cargill Defendants to reconsider that position in light of the fact that, as evidenced by the responses to extensive and overlapping written discovery served upon the State, defense counsel, including counsel for the Cargill Defendants, understand full well that, for many of the areas of inquiry they propose, the answers will be the same or similar for all of the Defendants.

We are now asking you directly if, on behalf of your clients, you are willing to organize a consolidated set of depositions of the State's 30(b)(6) witnesses on the general topic areas proposed by the Cargill Defendants. We pose this question without waiving any objections to the Rule 30(b)(6) notices which the Cargill Defendants have served upon the State, but in an effort to streamline discovery and minimize expense for the State and the Defendants as well.

Please advise if you are willing to proceed with discussions toward such a consolidated set of 30(b)(6) depositions.

Sincerely,

Robert A. Nance FOR THE FIRM

James



REGORY W ALBERTY
FRECA V AMENT
CK R ANDERSON
GMAS M ASKEW
(ANJ ASSINK
CANJ ASSINK
CANJ ASSINK
CHALLS
WALD M BINGHAM
KILMA A BOWLES
CHARDE BOYLE IV
ROBERT E HOWARD
LITY L BRATCHER
FIERW BROLICK
RICE BRYZA
COTT W BYRD
CHELLE M CARTER
LL CHASE
FRICK D CORNEJO
REPHENL CORRES
GERICK D CORNEJ
GEPHENL CORRES
GERICK D CORRES
GER

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW THE PARAGON BUILDING SUITE 101 5801 BROADWAY EXTENSION OKLAHOMA CITY, OKLAHOMA 73118-7489 (405) 843-9909 Fax (405) 842-2913

September 7, 2007

GLOYD I, MCCCOY
RAYMOND A MELTON
RICHARD A, MILDREN
OUSAR MONA/EOBHAN
J LYON MOREHEAD
J LYON MOREHEAD
J LYON MOREHEAD
J LYON MOREHEAD
ANGLE LOSAN MORROW
ROBERT A, NANCE
GARY I, IRAC
MARKAL NELMS
MARGAGET A NUNNERY
SHAWNIC, O'BUCKLEY
JAMES C, O'RBIGON
NICCUE J PETTY
WAITHEY D PETTY
JAMES R, POLAN
RICHARD P, POORMON
DAVIOL PRICE
VICTORIAL RICKLEY
FRED RAMAL, JR
LISAR RIGGS
M DAVID RIGGS
STEPHEN B RILEY
RAMPALL A RINGUEST
MARY J ROUNDS
GABRIELA N BANDOVAL
WILLIAM C SEARCY
KRISTEN E SHILLINGTON
DAVID A SIRMEMETAL
ROBERT P, SKEITH
ROBERT P, SKEITH

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

KENNETH M SMITH
SECTIT D SMITH
BETTY J SOMMARS
BEYERLY A STEWART
CHRISTOPHER B SYMISON
STEPHANE L THEBAN
DAYID H THOMAS
REX W THOMPSON
SONIAM TRE!
MICHAEL C TURPEN
MICHAEL P WELLS
SMARON K WEAVER
JOSEPH R WELLS
BERMAI SWILKERSON
DOUGLAS A WILBON
JERRY L WILL
GARTY W WOOLT
MICHAEL P WOMACK
MICHAEL P

Of Coursel Benjamin P Abasy E. Bryan Henson Peler J Regan

James Martin Graves, Esq. Bassett Law Firm
P.O. Box 3618
Fayetteville, AR 72702

Re: State of Oklahoma v. Tyson, et al. U.S. District Court for the Northern District of

Oklahoma Case No. 05-CV-00329-GKF-SAJ

Dear Mr. Graves:

Theresa Hill has informed us that she has shared with you our letter of August 24, 2007 in which we proposed consolidated depositions of the State's 30(b)(6) witnesses in response to the five notices which the Cargill Defendants have served. Copies of these notices are attached hereto for your convenience.

Counsel for the Cargill Defendants have indicated their reluctance to consolidate their Rule 30(b)(6) depositions, based upon the assertion that they wish to ask questions specific to their clients. We have asked the Cargill Defendants to reconsider that position in light of the fact that, as evidenced by the responses to extensive and overlapping written discovery served upon the State, defense counsel, including counsel for the Cargill Defendants, understand full well that, for many of the areas of inquiry they propose, the answers will be the same or similar for all of the Defendants.

We are now asking you directly if, on behalf of your clients, you are willing to organize a consolidated set of depositions of the State's 30(b)(6) witnesses on the general topic areas proposed by the Cargill Defendants. We pose this question without waiving any objections to the Rule 30(b)(6) notices which the Cargill Defendants have served upon the State, but in an effort to streamline discovery and minimize expense for the State and the Defendants as well.

Please advise if you are willing to proceed with discussions toward such a consolidated set of 30(b)(6) depositions.

Sincerely,

Robert A. Nance FOR THE FIRM

Nauce



REGORY W ALBERTY
RECCA V AMENT
CK R ANDERSON
ROMAS M ASKEW
AMI J ASSINK
SAK BICKLE
MALD M BINGHALI
ELIMIA BOWLES
CHARD B BOYLE, W
ELLY L BRACCHER STEPHENE HALE ACIE BRYZA

OTT W BYRD

ENELLE M CARTER

L L CHASE

ERRICK D CORNEJO

IEPHENL CORTES

ATTHEW P CROUCH

DBERT P OEAN

LEIBAS DORRIS

MET S DURIONT

AL EDWARDS. IR CHARD T GARRE SHARON GENTRY NCY A GRAHAM

MELVIN C HALL
MELVIN C HALL
SHARON E HAMM
ZACHERY R. HARGIS
CHRISTOPHER S HEROU
JERRI L. HILL
HOLLY M. HILLERMAN ROBERT E HOWARD WM GREGORY JAMES INML CREGORY JALIES
STEVEH JAMISZAWSHI
KUEMONIN L JONES
SARAH O KIENY
SCOTT P KIRILEY
KRISTOPHER E KOEPSEL
TERRY O KORDELISH II
O DUNE LEE
HANN E LORORELISH II
O BUNKE LEE
JOSEPH P LENNART
TYLER O LEOVARD
C S LEWIS, III
ANNY JEAN UTTLE
ADRIANA LOPEZ KUPPER
LORI T LOVO-IREVES
JOHN O LUTON
BREIJOAN J MAGEE
JANET G MALLOW
JOHN ROSS BALLOY

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION ATTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW THE PARAGON BUILDING SUITE 101 5801 BROADWAY EXTENSION OKLAHOMA CITY, OKLAHOMA 73118-7489 (405) 843-9909 Fax (405) 842-2913

September 7, 2007

GLOYD L MCCOY RAYMOND A MELTON RICHARD A MILDREN OUSAIR WOHAMEDEHAI OUSAIR WORMEDBHAD
JANICE LOGAN WORROW
ROBERT A MANCE
GARY L NEAL
MARK L RELMS
WARGARET A MURIFERY MARGARET A NUMBE SHAWN C OBUCKLEY JAMES C ORDISON NICOLE J PETTY JAZES C OKUSUM
NICOLE J PETTY
WHATNEY D PETTY
WHATNEY D PETTY
WHATNEY D PETTY
WHATNEY D PETTY
JAMES R POLAN
RICHARD P POORINGI
DAVID L PRED RAHAL JR
LIBA R. RRGGS
H DAVID RIGGS
STEPHEN B RICHY
RANDALL A RINGUEST
MARY J ROURDS
GARRIELA N SANDOVAL
WILLIAM C SEARCY
KRISTEN E SHILLINGTON
DAVID A SHAMENTAL
ROUGETT P. KERTIM KENNETH M SMITH
SCOTT D SMITH
BETTY J SOMMARS
BEYERLY A STEWATI
CHISTOWIEL B STEWATI
CHISTOWIEL CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWIEL
CHISTOWI JERRY L WITT COURTINEY M WOUN MICHAEL P. WOMACK

Ol Counsol Benjamin P Abney E. Bryan Hemson Peter J. Rogan

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

Robert E. Sanders, Esq. Young Williams P.A. P.O. Box 23059 Jackson, MS 39225-3059

State of Oklahoma v. Tyson, et al. U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Re: Oklahoma Case No. 05-CV-00329-GKF-SAJ

Dear Mr. Sanders:

Theresa Hill has informed us that she has shared with you our letter of August 24, 2007 in which we proposed consolidated depositions of the State's 30(b)(6) witnesses in response to the five notices which the Cargill Defendants have served. Copies of these notices are attached hereto for your convenience.

Counsel for the Cargill Defendants have indicated their reluctance to consolidate their Rule 30(b)(6) depositions, based upon the assertion that they wish to ask questions specific to their clients. We have asked the Cargill Defendants to reconsider that position in light of the fact that, as evidenced by the responses to extensive and overlapping written discovery served upon the State, defense counsel, including counsel for the Cargill Defendants, understand full well that, for many of the areas of inquiry they propose, the answers will be the same or similar for all of the Defendants.

We are now asking you directly if, on behalf of your clients, you are willing to organize a consolidated set of depositions of the State's 30(b)(6) witnesses on the general topic areas proposed by the Cargill Defendants. We pose this question without waiving any objections to the Rule 30(b)(6) notices which the Cargill Defendants have served upon the State, but in an effort to streamline discovery and minimize expense for the State and the Defendants as well

Please advise if you are willing to proceed with discussions toward such a consolidated set of 30(b)(6) depositions.

Sincerely,

Robert A. Nance FOR THE FIRM

- Name



RHODES, HIERONYMUS, JONES, TUCKER & GABLE, P.L.L.C. LAWYERS

ONEOK Plaza 100 West 5th Street, Suite 400 Tufsa, Oktahoma 74103-4287 Telephone (918) 582-1173 Fax (918) 592-3390 www.rhodesokla.com

Malling Address P.O. Box 21100 Tulsa, Oklahoma 74121-1100 Chris L. Rhodes, III Bert M. Jones John H. Tucker Jo Anne Deatoo Dan S. Folluo Ann E. Allison Andrew D. Downing Bradley A. Jackson Colin H. Tucker

Kerry R. Lewis Lesile J. Southerland Nathan E. Clark Theresa Noble Hill Carlye O. Jimerson Bradley S. Shelts Lindsay J. McDowell Denelda L. Richardson Maria E. Cervantes

Hal Crouch (1902-1947) Chris L. Rhodes (1902-1966) E. D. Hieronymus (1908-1994) George W. Gable (1918-2000)

- Est. 1931 -

Daniel D. Draper, III James D. Johnson Of Counsel

Reply to: Theresa Noble Hill thill@rhodesokla.com

Via Email Only

August 27, 2007

Robert A. Nance Riggs, Abney, Neal, Turpen, Orbison & Lewis 5801 Broadway Extension, Suite 101 Oklahoma City, OK 73118

Re:

State of Oklahoma v. Tyson

Our File No. 1790-2

Dear Bob:

While we are sensitive to the goal of minimizing the burden and expense on all parties to this litigation, we do not believe that the topics in the Cargill Defendants' 30(b)(6) deposition notices are appropriate for consolidation with any future notice that may be served by other Defendants.

Our Notices are specific to the State's allegations against the Cargill Defendants. We are seeking to depose a representative on what information the State has concerning its allegations against the Cargill Defendants specifically. We do not seek to depose a representative of the State concerning the actions of other Defendants. Many of the topics seek site specific information, such as "[t]he dates, locations and manner in which the Cargill Defendants or their contract growers have failed to properly manage, store or dispose of their poultry litter/poultry waste."

At this time, no other Defendant has served the State with similar 30(b)(6) deposition notices. We have forwarded your correspondence to our co-defendants upon your request. However, in light of the Scheduling Order, it is not realistic to delay any discussion of a schedule for these depositions until such time as another party might issue a similar notice. We are anxious to move forward and confirm dates to complete these depositions prior to the end of September. Please advise us of your availability.



Case 4:05-cv-00329-GKF-PJC Document 1309-2 Filed in USDC ND/OK on 10/05/2007

Page 9 of 15

Robert A. Nance August 27, 2007 Page 2

Sincerely,

THERESA/N/HILI

TNH:man

CC:

John Tucker Del Ehrich Dara Mann Bruce Jones

RHODES, HIERONYMUS, JONES, TUCKER & GABLE, P.L.L.C. LAWYERS

ONEOK Plaza 100 West 5th Street, Suite 400 Tulsa, Oklahoma 74103-4287 Telephone (918) 582-1173 Fax (918) 592-3390 www.rhodesokla.com

Mailing Address P.O. Box 21100 Tulsa, Oklahoma 74121-1100 Chris L. Rhodes, III Bert M. Jones John H. Tucker Jo Anne Deaton Dan S. Folluo Ann E. Allison Andrew D. Downing Bradley A. Jackson Colin H. Tucker Kerry R. Lewis Leslie J. Southerland Nathan E. Clark Theresa Noble Hill Carlye O. Jimerson Bradley S. Shelts Lindsey J. McDowell Denelda L. Richardson Mana E. Cervantes Hal Crouch (1902–1947) Chris L. Rhodes (1902–1966) E. D. Hieronymus (1908–1994) George W. Gable (1918–2000)

- Est. 1931 -

Daniel D. Draper, III James D. Johnson Of Counsel

VIA E-MAIL AND REGULAR MAIL

Reply to: Theresa Noble Hill THill@rhodesokla.com

RhodesHieronymus

September 7, 2007

Robert A. Nance Riggs Abney Neal Turpen Orbison & Lewis 5801 Broadway Extension, Suite 101 Oklahoma City, OK 73118

Re:

State of Oklahoma v. Tyson, et al.

Our File No.: 1790-2

Dear Bob,

We are in receipt of your letter dated September 7, 2007. We are disappointed that you failed to provide any proposed deposition dates, offer any witnesses on any of the topics contained in the 30(b)(6) deposition notices served by the Cargill Defendants, or to provide any dates to meet and confer as we requested. Accordingly, we believe that we have attempted in good faith to meet and confer with you to no avail.

As we previously advised, we are not interested in postponing these depositions for some indefinite period of time in the event that other Defendants seek to serve notices on topics specific to their discovery requests. Unlike others, we are not requiring the State to cure its many deficiencies in its discovery responses before asking Cargill-specific questions.

We intend to move forward with a motion to compel unless the State changes its course and provides us with proposed dates for these depositions no later than Wednesday, September 12, 2007.

Very truly yours,

Theresa Noble Hill

TNH/man

cc: Defense counsel



KUTAK ROCK LLP

THE THREE SISTERS BUILDING 214 WEST DICKSON STREET FAYETTEVILLE, ARKANSAS 72701-5221

LITTLE ROCK OFFICE

SUITE 2000 124 WEST CAPITOL AVENUE LITTLE ROCK, ARKANSAS 72201-3708 501-975-3000

479-973-4200 FACSIMILE 479-973-0007

www.kutakrock.com

MICHAEL R. BOND michael.bond@kutakrock.com (479) 973-4200

September 13, 2007

ATLANTA
CHICAGO
DENYER
DES MOINES
IRVINE
KANSAS CITY
LITTLE ROCK
LOS ANGELES
OKLAHOMA CITY
OMAHA
RICHMOND
SCOTTSDALE
WASHINGTON
WICHITA

VIA E-MAIL

Mr. Robert Nance Riggs, Abney, Neal, Turpen, Orbison & Lewis 502 West Sixth Street Tulsa, Oklahoma 74119

Re:

Oklahoma, et al. v. Tyson Foods, et al.

U.S. District Court No. 05-00329 GKF-SAJ

Dear Bob:

We are in receipt of your letter dated September 7, 2007, concerning Defendant Cargill's 30(b)(6) deposition notices to the Plaintiffs. Our understanding of Cargill's notices is that they are specific to Cargill and that Cargill desires that the notices and the depositions taken thereunder remain that way. The Tyson Defendants do not wish to consolidate its currently unnoticed 30(b)(6) depositions of the Plaintiffs with the depositions noticed by Cargill.

Sincerely,

Michael R. Bond

Mrb

cc: All counsel of record



CONNER & WINTERS

NORTHWEST ARKANSAS

John R. Eirod* Greg S. Scharlau Terri Dill Chadick Vicki Bronson Todd P. Lewis* P. Joshua Wisley Kerri E. Kobbernan**

Charles E. Scharlau

TULSA

Henry G. Will
Joseph J. McCain, Jr.
Lyunwood R. Moore, Jr.
Robert A. Curry
Steven W. McGrath
D. Richard Funk
Randolph L. Jones, Jr.
J. Ronald Petrikin
Larry B. Lipe
James E. Green, Jr.
Martin R. Wing
John W. Ingraham
Andrew R. Turner
Gentra Abbey Sovern
R. Kevin Redwine

David R. Cordell C. Raymond Patton, Jr. Paul E. Braden Robert J. Melgaard P. Scott Hathaway Lawrence A. Hall Timothy T. Trump Mark E. Dreyer Teresa Meinders Burken Nancy E. Vaughn Mark D. Berr Katherine G. Coyle Beverly K. Smith Melodie Freeman-Burney R. Richard Love, III Robert D. James Stephen R. Ward Jeffrey R. Schoborg Anne B. Sublett J. Ryan Sacra Jason S. Taylor Katy Day Inhofe Julia Forrester-Sellers Melinda L. Kirk Debra R. Stocktor

P. Bradley Bendure

ATTORNEYS & COUNSELORS AT LAW

Conner & Winters, LLP 211 East Dickson Street Fayetteville, Arkansas 72701 479-582-5711 Fax 479-587-1426

> Writer's E-mail Address vbronson@cwlaw.com

www.cwlaw.com

September 17, 2007

Kathryn J. Kindell Alissa A. Hurley Jed W. Isbell Paige N. Shelton Jason B. Coutant Allison McGrath Gardner Elizabeth G. Zeiders

William G. von Glahn Bob F. McCoy Lynn P. Mattson

James R. Ryan Russell H. Harbaugh, Jr. David O. Cordell

OKLAHOMA CITY

Irwin H. Steinborn
John W. Funk
Jared D. Giddens
Robin P. Fields
Kiran A. PhasaBar
Victor F. Albert
Mitchell D. Blackburn
Mark H. Bennet
Bryan J. Wells
Laura McCasland Holbrook
J. Dillon Curran

C. Brad Williams Justin L. Pybas

Peter B. Bradford

WASHINGTON, D.C.
G. Daniel Miller*
Donn C. Meindertsma*

Henry Rose* Erica L. Summers*

HOUSTON, TEXAS

Gregory D. Renberg

JACKSON, WYOMING

Randolph L. Jones, Jr.
SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO

Benjamin C. Conner 1879-1963 John M. Winters, Jr. 1901-1989

Douglas M. Rather

*Not Admitted in Oklahou **Not Admitted in Arkana

VIA E-MAIL

Bob Nancy Riggs, Abney, Neal, Turpen, Orbison & Lewis The Paragon Bldg., Suite 101 5801 Broadway Extension Oklahoma City, OK 73118-7489

Re:

State of Oklahoma v. Tyson Foods, Inc., et al

Dear Bob:

I am in receipt of your letter dated September 7, 2007, in which you asked whether we want to consolidate any 30(b)(6) depositions of the Plaintiff that we may want to take with the 30(b)(6) depositions noticed by Cargill. We are not interested in doing so. The topics contained in the 30(b)(6) notices issued by Cargill are very specific to Cargill. Likewise, if Simmons desired to conduct similar 30(b)(6) depositions of the Plaintiff the topics would be very specific to Simmons. Thus, the topics are impossible to consolidate.

Sincerely,

Vicki Bronson

cc: Counsel of record



Case 4:05-cv-00329-GKF-PJC Document 1309-2 Filed in USDC ND/OK on 10/05/2007 Page 13 of 15



320 S. Boston Ave, Suite 700, Tulsa, Oklahoma 74103

Office: (918) 382-9200Facsimile: (918) 382-9282

September 13, 2007

Via E-mail
Robert A. Nance
Riggs Abney
5801 N. Broadway, Suite 101
Oklahoma City, OK 73118

Re: Oklahoma

Inquiry Regarding 30(b)(6) Depositions

Dear Bob:

This responds to your letter of September 7 inquiring whether or not Peterson Farms would be interested in consolidating its deposition of the Plaintiff in this matter with the other Defendants. Your inquiry was prompted by the 30(b)(6) Notices served on the Plaintiff by the Cargill defendants. We respectfully decline your offer.

It appears from the Notices that the Cargill defendants desire and are prepared to take the deposition(s) of the Plaintiff on topics specific only to the Cargill defendants. The procedure they are pursuing appears both permissible and proper under the Rules. Peterson Farms is not in a position at this time to take 30(b)(6) depositions of the Plaintiff with regard to the allegations made against it, and it has no desire to cause a delay in the Cargill defendants' plan to conduct these depositions now. Peterson Farms has the prerogative to take depositions of the Plaintiff's representatives to determine what, if any basis the Plaintiff had for suing it, and will do so at a time of its choosing when, and if, the Plaintiff fully complies with its discovery obligations.

Best regards,

MCDANIEL, HIXON, LONGWELL & ACORD, PLLC

A. Scott McDaniel

ASM:jlw

cc: Plaintiff and Defendant Counsel of Record (Via E-mail)

114-004_Nance ltr 2007_09_13.doc



BASSETT LAW FIRM LLP

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

P.O. Box 3618

FAYETTEVILLE, ARKANSAS 72702-3618

W.W. "BILL" BASSETT (1926-2006) WOODY BASSETT TOD C. BASSETT WAL ROBERT STILL, JR.1 WALKER DALE GARRETT! CURTIS L NEBBEN EARL BUDDY CHADICK GARY V. WEEKS J. DAVID WALL"† (1987-2008) VINCENT O. CHADICK JAMES M. GRAVES'1 SCOTT E. WRAY SHANNON L. FANT* PAULE, THOMPSON, JR.; DALE W. BROWN JENNIFER E. LLOYD

221 NORTH COLLEGE AVENUE

TELEPHONE (479) 521-9896 TELECOPIER (479) 521-9600 www.bassattlawfirm.com

N OKLAHOMA

1ALBO LICENSED IN MISSOURI

LINDA DOVER OFFICE MANAGER

September 10, 2007

RE:

State of Oklahoma v. Tyson, et al.

USDC ND Oklahoma

Case No.: 05-CV-00329-GKF-SAJ

Robert A. Nance Riggs, Abney, Neal, Turpen, Orbison & Lewis The Paragon Building Suite 101 5801 Broadway Extension Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73118-7489

Dear Bob:

Thank you for your September 7, 2007 correspondence. As you have indicated is the case with Cargill, we too are reluctant to consolidating any Rule 30(b)(6) depositions. We will have topics and questions that are specific to George's, and our Notice(s) will likely differ in some substantive ways as a result.

Accordingly, at this time we cannot agree to consolidation of such depositions, and would suggest that you move forward with scheduling the State witnesses implicated by Cargill's Notices at this time.

Please let me know if you have any further questions.

Sincerely,

BASSETH LAW FIRM LLP

James M. Graves

JMG/jea



YOUNGWILLIAMS P.A.

Attorneys at Law
2000 AmSouth Plaza
Jackson, Mississippi 39201
Post Office Box 23059
Jackson, Mississippi 39225-3059
Telephone 601.948.6100
Fax 601.355.6136
www.youngwilliams.com

J. Wesley Daughdrill, Jr.

Sean Wesley Ellis

Michael Farrell

Stephen E. Gardner

Don H. Goode

Robert L. Holladay, Jr.

Jay M. Kilpatrick

John Sanford McDavid

James H. Neeld, III

James H. Neeld, IV

Erin S. Rodgers

Robert E. Sanders

Lindsay G. Watts

Robert L. Wells

E. Stephen Williams

James Leon Young,
Of Counsel

J. Will Young, 1906-1996

Tony Carlisle, Administrator September 13, 2007

--- yia e-mail ---

Bob Nance Riggs, Abney, etc. 502 West Sixth Street Tulsa, OK 74119-1010

> re: Oklahoma v. Tyson Foods, et al., Civil Action No. 4:05cv329-JOE-SAJ

Dear Mr. Nance,

I am responding to your letter of September 7 regarding Cargill's deposition notices to the plaintiff. As I appreciate Cargill's notices, the areas of inquiry appear to be Cargill-specific. Also, Cargill has apparently rejected the notion of having its deposition notices joined by other defendants. Accordingly, Cal-Maine has no interest in attempting to consolidate Cal-Maine depositions of the plaintiff with the depositions noticed by Cargill.

Sincerely,

Robert E. Sanders

RES/vs

